

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,519	12/06/2000	R. David Rines		9558
7:	590 09/25/2003			
Rines and Rines			EXAMINER	
81 North State Concord, NH			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
			ARȚ UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	1
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
*		09/731,519	RINES, R. DAVID	/
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	-	Sam Rimell .	2175	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			·
Period fo	• •		·	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however b. a reply within the statutory minimus briod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-fina	l.	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims	lowance except for form der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 19	al matters, prosecution as to the me 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration	on.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requireme	ent.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\Box$ a	ccepted or b) Dobjected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved	o)  disapproved by the Examiner.	
🗀 -	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	• •	).	
	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receive	d.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receive	d in Application No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the Internationaliee the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	e
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			cation)
a 15) <u> </u>	)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application	has been received.  J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  SAM RIP	WELL
Attachment	• •		PRIMARY F:	CAMENER
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:	
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re	_	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5	

Art Unit: 2175

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-2, 4-12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bassett, Jr. et al. ('241).

<u>Claim 1:</u> FIG. 2 discloses the generation of an image derived from the analysis of genes or proteins. Col. 5, lines 1-13 describes the usage of a scanning device which scans the image. The image is then stored in a database (106). The image can subsequently be retrieved for various forms of analysis (col. 11, lines 35-38). The images are standardized in the sense that they are all two dimensional and in color. The reference also recognizes additional image standards, such as JPEG (col. 5, line 1).

Claim 2: FIG.2 discloses the generation of an image derived from the analysis of genes or proteins. The image is a pattern of spots. The image may be derived from a polymerase chain reaction that involves an electrophoresis separation, typically occurring a gel (col. 7, lines 61-65). A scanning device scans the image and stores the scan in a database (106). As seen in FIG. 1, multiple users (108, 116) can input the spot patterns into the database. Multiple users can retrieve the data from the database as well (col. 19, lines 16-17).

Claim 4: As seen in FIG. 1, all communications with the database (106) are made by entities (108, 116) that are external in relation to the database (106).

Art Unit: 2175

<u>Claim 5:</u> Communications may be achieved over the Internet (112 in FIG. 1).

Claims 6: Any equipment used in a laboratory to achieve the electrophoresis described at col. 7, lines 61-66 reads as a customized kit, lacking any information on how the kit is actually customized or what the kit actually contains. Also note applicant's statement on page 7, line 10 of the specification, where applicant states: "Apart from the resulting spot pattern images displayed on the gel, the details of such PCR-electrophoresis operations form no part of the novelty of the present invention."

<u>Claim 7:</u> Electrophoresis equipment is customized for identifying genes. Without any description as to how the kit is customized, the claim reads on any electrophoresis equipment. Also not applicant's statement on page 7, line 10, of the specification.

<u>Claim 8:</u> Any electrophoresis equipment can be used for identifying unknown genes.

<u>Claim 9:</u> The image comparison permitted by the system of Bassett, Jr. et al. can assist in the identification of mutations (col. 42, lines 22-24).

<u>Claim 10:</u> The system of Bassett, Jr. et al. permits the evaluation of specific drug candidates on cells, thus aiding in identifying target cells affected by these drugs (col. 24, lines 60-62).

<u>Claim 11:</u> Col. 19, lines 13-21 describe the access of the database (or databases) by external researchers. Since the system is available over the Internet (112 in FIG. 1), the information in the databases has a global reach.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 14: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 15: See remarks for claim 5.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

Claim 16: See remarks for claims 2 and 6.

Claim 17: Col. 19, lines 13-21 describe the presence of other databases maintained by other research entities, which read as "protein databases", since they also contain the same biological response data as the database (106). The "biological response software" (304) reads as the "protein modeling software" since the biological response, such as the pattern of dots in FIG. 2, provides a visualization model of gene based or protein based materials.

<u>Claims 18-20:</u> Col. 19, lines 13-21 describe other genomic references in the form of other research entities, "biological response software" that reads as "protein modeling software" and other databases (col. 19, line 14 in particular).

<u>Claims 21-22:</u> Each of the images are the same two dimensional color images. The images are thus standardized (they all have the same 2-D color format) and the standard dictates the size (two dimensions) and contrast (full color images).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bassett, Jr. et al. ('241).

Claims 3 and 13 differ from the claims in that it does not specifically disclose multiplex polymerase chain reaction by two dimensional scanning. Basset, Jr. et al. only disclose polymerase chain reaction in general terms.

Art Unit: 2175

However, multiplex polymerase chain reaction by two dimensional scanning is well known in the art by applicant's admission in the disclosure (page 1, second paragraph of specification).

Bassett. Jr. et al. also states that other systems are within the spirit and scope of the invention (col. 5, lines 30-35).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bassett, Jr. et al. to obtain data by multiplex polymerase chain reaction by two dimensional scanning as a choice of design well known in the art by applicant's own admission and applicable to Bassett Jr. et al. as another system for generating biological responses (col. 5, lines 30-35 of Bassett Jr. et al.).

## Remarks

Applicant's arguments have been considered.

Applicant argues that the images stored in the system of Bassett Jr. et al. are not standardized images. Examiner maintains the image shown in FIG. 2 of Bassett, Jr. et al. is in fact a standardized image, by reason that all of the images stored in the database are two dimensional color images, like that shown in FIG. 2. The "standard" is thus the usage of a two dimensional color image. Bassett Jr. et al. further discloses additional image standards, such as JPEG, which is an internationally recognized standard.

Applicant also notes that the image shown in FIG.2 is annotated as being prior art. While this is certainly true, it is also moot. Once a patent application is published as a patent, the entire document becomes prior art, not merely those figures that were annotated as prior art at the time of filing.

Art Unit: 2175

Page 6

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at

telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2175